

# Generating the "national will" to spend hundreds of billions and give up our civil and human rights on the altar of pandemic safety-- here is how it was done

Here's the 25 year history of how this was foisted on us. And how the WHO has repeatedly failed upward over the past 20 years.



MERYL NASS  
APR 13, 2024



110



37

Share

## Bill Clinton Begins the Phony Era of Pandemics and Bioterrorism

In November 1997 US Secretary of Defense [William Cohen held up a 5 lb bag of Domino sugar](#) in front of an army of cameras and told the world that if the bag contained anthrax it could wipe out NYC or Washington, DC.

That was not true, but it provided a fitting justification for the start of the DOD's "biodefense" vaccine program, beginning with mandatory anthrax vaccinations for soldiers in March 1998.

According to an [NBC cover story](#),

"In April 1998, President Bill Clinton read a Richard Preston novel, "The Cobra Event," about a biological attack on the U.S. using a lethal virus that spreads like the common cold.

"It scared the bejesus out of him," recalls Kenneth Bernard, a now retired U.S. Public Health Service official who was then representing the U.S. in Geneva at the World Health Organization."

The USG invested in a new smallpox vaccine, ACAM2000, based on the older Dryvax vaccine. The fact that it caused high rates of myocarditis (1 case in 175 doses administered according to CDC) has been ignored.

And the biodefense era began, supplying handsome contracts to those who promised remedies in the new wild west of biowarfare and infectious disease. Many of those who got the contracts had friends in high places, like FOB Ronald Perelman, who made a killing on a smallpox remedy (Tpoxx) that was eventually used as a monkeypox drug. Did it work? Who knows?

## The 21st Century ushered in a well-coordinated push to generate fear about:

1. **a repeat of the 1918 flu pandemic,**
2. **jumps of deadly viruses from animals to humans ("spillover," zoonoses and epizootics were the new terms to be mastered), and**
3. **biologic warfare threats**

The **2002-3 SARS** outbreak and the **Avian influenza** (bird flu) outbreak — both beginning shortly after the **anthrax** letters—were hyped to the max to generate fear of pandemics and

biological warfare.

How many people did these infectious diseases kill in the US and around the world?

1. The anthrax letters caused **5 human deaths, all in the US**.
2. SARS-1 caused under 800 deaths around the world. There were **27 US deaths** from SARS-1 and **not a single US death**.
3. Avian flu is said to have caused **463 deaths total in the entire world over the last 100 years according to the WHO**. Only **2 Americans have been identified as having associated with avian flu**, and both were very minor. **Not a single American died from avian flu**. The recent case of conjunctivitis is recovering.

The **CDC and mainstream media** claim that avian flu has killed over 100 million people. **USDA rules have forced growers to cull over 100 million chickens**. If a chicken has a positive PCR test for bird flu, every chicken in the chicken house (and every chicken on the farm) must be killed. **Was that test even accurate?** But expansive claims about what gets the public going, and putting up with incursions on their freedom.

So, on the basis of a bioterrorism 'performance' using letters containing anthrax spores, and the Congress and the media that were **made in a lab**, and two relatively minor outbreaks that failed to kill a single American, we Americans were led by the nose into the era of BIODEFENSE.

### **In 2009 the Pandemic Preparedness/Biosecurity Agenda really took off with an expensive BANG!**

The WHO's Director-General Margaret Chan declared a Pandemic Phase Level 6 for a "swine flu" outbreak that was milder than a normal influenza outbreak: triggering tens of billions of dollars in "sleeper" contracts that the WHO had initiated (and most likely been cut in on) between national governments and vaccine manufacturers. The contracts guaranteed that nations would buy millions or hundreds of millions of doses of vaccines for any future Level 6 pandemic that a WHO Director-General declared.

The contracts did NOT say that the definition of a Level 6 pandemic could be changed so that any new virus at all could meet the definition. But that is what happened. The **definition of a level 6 pandemic was changed** so that it was meaningless, and a few weeks later Director-General Margaret Chan declared a level 6 pandemic, the contracts were triggered, and on the order of a billion doses of H1N1 flu vaccines were administered. Grandfathered in. Liability-free. Some caused serious side effects: **especially the European Pandemrix brand** made by GSK. Regulators identified very serious problems early and **simply covered them up**. Problems like being associated with **10 times higher rates of serious adverse events** than other H1N1 vaccines.

Drugs were also ushered in without a license. Here is some **archived information** on the drugs and other products given EUAs for the mild 2009 swine flu.



### **Discover more from Meryl's COVID Newsletter**

Disentangling COVID, WHO and global governance disinformation

Over 35,000 subscribers

Type your email...

Subscribe

Continue reading >

Sign in

## H1N1 EUAs - ARCHIVED INFORMATION

There are no current EUAs for H1N1.

Medical Product	Date of EUA Issuance	Letter of Authorization or Other EUA-Related Information	EUA Determination and Declaration
Antiviral Disposition: Oseltamivir (Tamiflu), Zanamivir (Relenza), and Peramivir	June 22, 2010	<a href="#">Authorization Disposition Letter and Question and Answer Attachment</a> <a href="#">↗</a> (June 22, 2010 - ARCHIVED) <a href="#">Tamiflu and Relenza Emergency Use Authorization Disposition Letters and Question and Answer Attachments</a> <a href="#">↗</a> (June 22, 2010 - ARCHIVED)	<a href="#">Declaration of Emergency Pursuant to Section 564 of the FD&amp;C Act and Renewal of Determination that a Public Health Emergency Exists</a> <a href="#">↗</a> (March 26, 2010) (PDF, 151 KB - ARCHIVED)
Antivirals	Various	Peramivir <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">FR notice</a> (November 2, 2009)</li> <li>• <a href="#">FR notice</a> (April 19, 2010)</li> </ul> Tamiflu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">FR notice</a> (August 4, 2009)</li> <li>• <a href="#">FR notice</a> (April 19, 2010)</li> </ul> Relenza <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">FR notice</a> (August 4, 2009)</li> <li>• <a href="#">FR notice</a> (April 19, 2010)</li> </ul>	(see above)
In vitro diagnostics	Various	<a href="#">Historical information about 2009 H1N1 Influenza EUAs for IVDs</a> including letters of authorization, fact sheets, and labeling	(see above)
Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)	May 1, 2009	<a href="#">Historical information about 2009 H1N1 Influenza EUAs for PPE</a> including letters of authorization and fact sheets	(see above)

Having wrought great harm in 2009, the WHO bounced to another debacle with West Africa's Ebola pandemic of 2014. Below I have excerpted from a Royal Society opinion piece, but there are many others that provided strong criticisms of the WHO response, including from some of the WHO's strongest supporters. It seems that really bad mistakes can lead to calls for reform and a bigger budget. We've seen Congress "solve" problems this way all the time. Then those "reform" efforts can be used to move an organization in the new desired direction. In this case, the WHO was maneuvered in the biodefense direction.

Reading the article below, it appears that the WHO is an inept, disorganized bureaucracy that has a large stable of authors to write policy briefs, press releases and has other employees who put on conferences. But the WHO has little understanding of actual epidemics and does not like to dirty its hands tending to them on the ground.

### What did the UK Royal Society publish about the WHO's response to West Africa's Ebola pandemic?

<https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/full/10.1098/rstb.2016.0307>

THE ROYAL SOCIETY  
PUBLISHING
All Journals ▾ | [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [RSS](#) [WeChat](#) [YouTube](#) | Sign

Home Content ▾ Information for ▾ About us ▾ Sign up ▾ Propose an issue

# PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B

## BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

You have access

[Check for updates](#)

[View PDF](#)

---

[Tools](#) [Share](#)

[Cite this article ▾](#)

Opinion piece

## What we have learnt about the World Health Organization from the Ebola outbreak

Clare Wenham [✉](#)

Published: 10 April 2017 | <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0307>

Extract:

However, after the **initial errors of downplaying the outbreak** [26], the WHO did maintain continued activity in tackling Ebola. The WHO documents its role in training healthcare workers and burial teams in infection control, community engagement activities and providing epidemiological data [27]. Furthermore, the organization published numerous technical guidance documents, hosted a series of meetings on vaccine options, developed diagnostic tools and expanded laboratory services [21, p. 1309]. Yet **none of these activities provided direct patient care, strategic managerial oversight or the infection control that the outbreak response needed. Ultimately, due to a vacuum of international leadership in the operational response (which several in the international community expected the WHO to perform), the patient care, infection control and management were left to others**, including Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), a new UN body (United Nations Mission for Ebola Emergency Response—UNMEER) and even the domestic and international militaries [10,19,28].

All reviews attribute some blame to the World Health Organization (WHO) for its **delay to take action** and for a **lack of an operational response** in the outbreak. However, while the WHO made some **pivotal mistakes, as it itself admits** [8], the outbreak exposed tensions between the normative and operational roles of the WHO, and furthermore between what the WHO is able to do (suffering from financial and organizational constraints) and what the global community expects the WHO to do.

The WHO admitted:

*"The **initial response was slow and insufficient**, we were **not aggressive in alerting the world**, our surge capacity was limited, [I would suggest that WHO staff chose not to endanger themselves or that WHO was instructed to allow the Ebola outbreak to expand across Africa—Nass] **we did not work effectively in coordination with other partners**, there were*

**shortcomings in risk communication**, and there was **confusion of role and responsibilities** at the three levels [Headquarters, Regional Office and Country Offices] of the organisation [20,21]."

**...despite the launch of a WHO Roadmap** in August 2014 strategizing the end of the epidemic within six to nine months, *[the WHO is full of planners, but has a dearth of doers—Nass]* **a coordinated international response with WHO at the helm failed to materialize [25] with the outbreak rapidly developing into a humanitarian emergency.**

So, the WHO has been failing upward with every global infectious disease crisis for at least the past 20 years, well before COVID.

What does the organization offer us? Apart from providing a hook for globalists to gain more power, control and wealth, the WHO offers nothing to the citizens of developed nations. It does provide some benefits to developing nations, but those benefits could probably be achieved at a much lower cost, and with preferable local decision-making and control, through another organization or through health ministries.

As **Dr. Inouye has said** and written, it is time for us to Exit the WHO.



110 Likes · 19 Restacks

← Previous

Next →

### 37 Comments

Write a comment...

Rob D The Rumble Strip Apr 14 ❤️ Liked by Meryl Nass

My nephew became permanently disabled in the military after those famous mandatory anthrax "vaccines". I developed nerve issues after my mandatory soup of "vaccines" in the military. It's always made me wonder how many people have strange health issues and, because they don't know their own bodies well and have been propagandized to death, don't even realize their problems are absolutely due to the host of "vaccines" they have taken. I pray that I get to see this whole conspiracy uncovered in my lifetime. "Vaccines"....the only product in history a person is not allowed to question. That right there should be enough to cause a thinking person to absolutely question them. Man I'm sick of all of this.

♡ LIKE (17) ◻ REPLY ↗ SHARE ...

Jeff Apr 14 ❤️ Liked by Meryl Nass

Centralization of power is a result of local control losing most or all of its integrity. If it had integrity, it would fight like badgers to retain their control, realizing that those closest to the problems are best positioned to resolve them effectively and most justly. Too many, sadly, fall prey to the money for nothing propaganda that the centralizers are all too willing to use to get more power - lower taxes with no cuts in spending, welfare instead of workfare, etc. Tyranny is a slow train coming until it's all of a sudden. Praying for us all.