

https://covidcalltohumanity.org Contact Number: 0926-952-0230 or 0926-654-2078 Email: cch1333@protonmail.com

CCH SB1869 BRIEFING PAPER NO. 3

DATE: 20 July 2023

TO: Honorable Senators, Republic of the Philippines

FROM: Nicanor Perlas, Founder and Director, Covid Call to Humanity (CCH)

RE: Will the Senate Commit Treason by Enacting SB 1869 into Law?

Dear Senators, please do not betray the nation. SB 1869 was clearly not written by your good selves nor your staff. It has foreign footprints all over the place. It is a trap that can only lead to more misery for our nation. Bear out as we present more evidence of the dangers of SB 1869,

In the past few weeks, while the Senate adjourned its plenary sessions, more major scientific developments and legal agreements have emerged that cast serious doubts on the wisdom of rushing ahead with SB 1869. We mention "more" because CCH has already provided significant evidence in **two earlier Briefing Papers** that we have given to your office by hand-delivery.¹

Before citing and explaining these **NEW** developments, I want to point out the extreme relevance of these developments in your consideration of SB 1869.

First, the Department of Health (DOH), to put it generously, made a scientific mess in its Covid response that it imposed on the nation. **The DOH response destroyed lives**, **livelihoods, our constitutional rights and the mental health of the young.**² DOH should not be rewarded with even more absolute powers over the lives of Filipinos.

¹ These two Briefing Papers can also be found here: Briefing Paper 1:

<u>https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2023/02/22/briefing-paper-on-sb1869-why-sb1869-approval-needs-to-be-stopped-now-and-the-bill-radically-revised/</u>. Briefing Paper 2: <u>https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2023/05/08/cch-releases-2nd-briefing-paper-warning-senate-not-to-surrender-philippine-sovereignty-to-sex-maniacs-and-pedophiles-at-the-who-un/</u>

² <u>https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2021/10/18/nicanor-perlas-asks-the-duterte-government-to-cease-and-desist-extreme-and-unscientific-covid-policies/</u>. Includes studies from NEDA.

Instead, the Senate should investigate the DOH to probe more deeply into the latter's pandemic response. Other nations are already doing this with their Departments of Health, including the US and the European Union.³ Only on this basis of the lessons learned from this Senate investigation, can be a real balanced bill be crafted that will truly prepare the nation for future real pandemics.

Second, in the event of a supposed global health emergency⁴, SB 1869 will ultimately place the control of the country on the World Health Organization (WHO). There will be no role for the Senate, the House, the President, the Supreme Court in this process. In effect, it is a total surrender of our country's democracy and freedoms to a foreign institution.

This is alarming because, as already shown in detail in Briefing Paper 1 and 2, **the WHO is not a benign institution.** It is nearing the finalization of a new Pandemic Treaty and amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR). These two instruments seek to establish totalitarian global control thru various "health" instruments and massive surveillance.

SB 1869 seeks to align the country's health policies with WHO and the latter's Pandemic Treaty and the IHR Amendments. By doing this, **the Senate would be committing treason and subjecting the country to totalitarian control** from pharmaceutical and other dark powers running the WHO.

These are strong words. I will provide the evidence for it in this Briefing Paper.

Disturbing Scientific Findings

Deaths Due to Covid Vaccines Now Scientifically Proven

The most alarming scientific development just this July 2023 is that of a scientific metastudy, done by world-renowned and highly qualified scientists and published in a preprint platform of the prestigious medical journal, *The Lancet.* The study was a review of many researches that examined the results of autopsies done of those whose deaths were associated with Covid vaccinations. **The study found that 73.9% of the deaths were directly linked to the Covid vaccines.**

Here are the key findings of the study:

https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2022/04/30/2021-records-290125-excess-deaths/. Analysis of data from Philippine Statistics Authority

³ See, for example, the hearings of a US House committee on Covid discussed below.

⁴ The term "supposed" is used because, under the new agreements being crafted mostly in secret by the WHO, the WHO can declare even a "possible" pandemic, not an actual real time pandemic. See Briefing Paper 1, p.21. See also more below.

"Findings: The most implicated organ system in COVID-19 vaccine-associated death was the cardiovascular system (53%), followed by the hematological system (17%), the respiratory system (8%), and multiple organ systems (7%). Three or more organ systems were affected in 21 cases. The mean time from vaccination to death was 14.3 days. Most deaths occurred within a week from last vaccine administration. A total of 240 deaths (73.9%) were independently adjudicated as directly due to or significantly contributed to by COVID-19 vaccination." (Emphases supplied)

Shockingly, *The Lancet* removed the preprint version of this alarming findings within twenty-four hours.⁵ The authors of the censored scientific study, however, provided the public a copy of their paper. It can be found here.⁶

Dr. Peter McCullough, one of the study's most prestigious doctors and one of the most cited doctors in the world, said that their paper was being downloaded by the "hundreds per minute." People are crying out "medical censorship by Lancet." Clearly it was a case of scientific censorship.⁷

In this regard, the DOH rule of immediate cremation of supposed Covid deaths were and are highly suspicious. It is basically the removal of evidence that the vaccines are deadly. In normal medical practice, it is often important to ascertain the real cause of death. But this sound medical practice was strictly abandoned by DOH. Why?

Section 13(g)(8) of SB 1869 can allow DOH to continue this highly unscientific practice of no autopsies after a Covid death. In effect, DOH is preventing proper health care to flourish on the basis of learning what the real cause of a particular disease is.⁸

Covid Vaccines and Excess Deaths

The proof above of deaths due to Covid vaccines can now make us understand another equally alarming global phenomena. This is the reality of massive excess deaths around the world, including the Philippines, following Covid vaccination.

The DOH has claimed the vaccines are safe and effective despite the fact that the Philippines experienced 290,000+ excess deaths in 2021.⁹ Globally, the WHO estimates that 14.83 million excess deaths have occurred. Here is what WHO wrote: We estimate 14.83 million excess deaths globally, 2.74 times more deaths than the 5.42 million reported as due to COVID-19 for the period.¹⁰

⁵ <u>https://dailysceptic.org/2023/07/06/lancet-study-on-covid-vaccine-autopsies-finds-74-were-caused-by-vaccine-journal-removes-study-within-24-hours/</u>

⁶ <u>https://dailysceptic.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/SSRN-id4496137.pdf</u>

 ⁷ <u>https://rumble.com/v2z1f8i-mccullough-interest-in-devastating-covid-vaccine-autopsy-study-skyrockets-a.html</u>
⁸ See Briefing Paper 1, p.10 for a detailed explanation of how this Section of SB 1869 can be abused.

https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2023/02/22/briefing-paper-on-sb1869-why-sb1869-approval-needs-to-bestopped-now-and-the-bill-radically-revised/

⁹ <u>https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2022/04/30/2021-records-290125-excess-deaths/</u>. This analysis is based on Philippine Statistics Authority data.

¹⁰ https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-05522-2

Yes, neither DOH, WHO or other regulatory agencies would admit that the Covid vaccines caused these deaths despite the evidence above from autopsies clearly showing that the vaccines do kill people.

Meanwhile, insurance companies are also showing massive spikes in death claims during the high of Covid vaccinations. This evidence is contained in Edward Dowd's new book, *"Cause Unknown: The Epidemic of Sudden Deaths in 2021 and 2022,* points to the massive evidence linking mass vaccinations with Covid vaccines as the most likely cause for these excess deaths.¹¹ Dowd was a trend analyst expert who managed \$14 billion for Blackrock, which latter manages \$10 Trillion worth of assets.

Covid Vaccines are Gene-Altering Technologies

The Covid vaccines are not vaccines in the conventional sense. This is why they are not safe and effective as we have shown above.

The Covid vaccines are gene altering technologies that can change the genes of humans with potentially serious adverse effects. The mRNA vaccines are, in effect, gene therapy products¹² meant to permanently alter one's genes in the hope of achieving some benefits. But serious regulatory issues are involved with them.¹³

These new scientific studies confirm earlier studies that the mRNA in the vaccines can be incorporated into the DNA of cells via a process known as reverse transcription.¹⁴

Covid Vaccines Trigger Other Illnesses including Cancer

The Covid vaccines are not only unsafe and dangerous. They also cause other serious illnesses like cancer.

In a new Belgian study, scientific researchers injected 14 mice with 2 Pfizer COVID-19 mRNA vaccines. One fourteenth of the (7%) died suddenly, only two days after the second Pfizer shot. The mice developed turbo cancer with many organs displaying lymphoma infiltration. The liver, kidneys, spleen, lungs and intestines all had this expression of this cancer of the lymph system of the human body.¹⁵

Defense/dp/1510776397/ref=sr 1 1?crid=3EJ6P8NQ71NAA&keywords=edward+dowd+cause+unknown&qid=168 9470118&sprefix=Edward+Dow%2Caps%2C362&sr=8-1 . See also,

https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/cause-unknown-book-edward-dowd-sudden-deaths-cola/ ¹² https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/24/13/10514 and https://www.theepochtimes.com/health/new-researchpaper-mrna-covid-19-vaccines-are-gene-therapy-

¹¹ <u>https://www.amazon.com/Cause-Epidemic-Sudden-Childrens-</u>

products 5360853.html?utm_source=partner&utm_campaign=DrLoupis&src_src=partner&src_cmp=DrLoupis ¹³ <u>https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/24/13/10514</u>

¹⁴ <u>https://www.mdpi.com/1467-3045/44/3/73/htm</u>

¹⁵ <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10183601/</u>

What is surprising is that the mice in this study did not show any clinical signs of cancer before they suddenly died. Other studies have already warned about this.

What is very concerning is that this 14-mice study was larger than the clinical trials involving the release of bivalent mRNA booster shots during the Omicron variant crisis. That latter study involved only 8 mice. Yet government gave these boosters shots to hundreds of millions of people around the world.

Continued Vaccination Destroys the Immune System

The emergence of other illness including cancer is connected to the impairment of the human immune system. Repeated vaccinations decrease the effectiveness of our immune system to defend us from attacks by SARS-CoV-2. These continuous vaccinations decrease our protection against COVID-19 instead of protecting us from This explains the phenomena of "negative efficacy" where a person becomes less and less protected the more they have been vaccinated. ¹⁶

Fraudulent Practices of Foreign Regulatory Agencies

SB 1869 would have us believe in the integrity of government institutions designed to protect the health of the people. However, these institutions can be fraudulent and can be involved in cover ups. Take the example of the US FDA discussed above. FDA approved the use of bivalent vaccines for hundreds of millions around the world with trials involving only 8 mice.

But there are other equally disturbing examples.

A. US House Committee Reports Cover Up of Lab Origin of SARS-CoV-2

As seen above, the Covid vaccines are not safe and effective. They are killers and cause serious permanent injuries to millions around the world. One reason for this is that SARS-CoV-2 came from a lab which was engaged in "gain-of-function" research. Gain-of-function means giving a pathogen a new function (greater pathogenicity, for example) that it did not have before. But that is precisely the definition of a bioweapon.

Here is the UN definition of a bioweapon. "They generally consist of two parts – a weaponized agent and a delivery mechanism." The UN then describes a weaponized agent as follows: "Almost any disease-causing organism (such as bacteria, viruses, fungi, prions or rickettsiae) or toxin (poisons derived from animals, plants or microorganisms, or similar substances produced synthetically) can be used in biological weapons. **The agents can be enhanced from their natural state** to make them more suitable for mass production, storage, and dissemination as weapons."¹⁷ (Emphasis supplied.)

¹⁶ "IgG4 Antibodies Induced by Repeated Vaccination May Generate Immune Tolerance to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein" in <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10222767/</u>

¹⁷ <u>https://disarmament.unoda.org/biological-weapons/about/what-are-biological-weapons/</u>

Note that this enhancement from "their natural state" is nothing else but "gain-offunction" research. Already, in 2021, the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) admitted that it funded gain-of-function research. Here are important quotes from the letter of NIH Principal Deputy Director, Dr. Lawrence Tabak to Congressman James Comer of the US House of Representatives.

"In the letter to Representative James Comer (R., Ky.), Lawrence A. Tabak of the NIH cites a 'limited experiment' that was conducted to test if '**spike proteins from naturally occurring bat coronaviruses circulating in China were capable of binding to the human ACE2 receptor in a mouse model**.' The laboratory mice infected with the modified bat virus '**became sicker**' than those infected with the unmodified bat virus."¹⁸ (Emphases supplied.)

Recent US Congress hearings, just this July 2023, confirm the suspicion that SARS-CoV-2 was created in a lab through gain-of-function research. Here are the interim conclusions of the Majority Staff Report of the Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic. Proximal Origin refers to the scientific paper published in *Nature Medicine*.

"When it came time to publish, Dr. Collins [former head of NIH and the boss of Dr. Fauci] gave his approval for publication and said he was very happy with the final product. At first, the journal Nature rejected Proximal Origin because it did not discount a lab leak strong enough. In order to ensure publication in Nature Medicine, the authors claimed that no laboratory-based scenario is plausible, fulfilling Nature's critique and attempting to kill the lab leak hypothesis.

"After publication, Proximal Origin was used to downplay the lab leak hypothesis and call those who believe it may be true, conspiracy theorists. Dr. Fauci and Dr. Collins tracked the paper through the review and publication process. **And finally, Dr. Collins expressed dismay when Proximal Origin did not successfully kill the lab leak theory.** He subsequently asked Dr. Fauci if there was anything more they could do. The next day, Dr. Fauci directly cited Proximal Origin from the White House podium.

"On January 31, 2020, Dr. Fauci prompted Proximal Origin, which's goal was to 'disprove' the lab leak theory to avoid blaming China for the COVID-19 pandemic. **Proximal Origin employed fatally flawed science to achieve its goal.**

¹⁸ <u>https://www.yahoo.com/now/nih-admits-funding-gain-function-</u>

<u>125103852.html?guccounter=1&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly9zZWFyY2guYnJhdmUuY29tLw&guce_referrer_sig=A</u> QAAAByv3opJinXds7zacFaqD6Pgxn4Mw9Eqm-fRuad6IF_vb2obE6dyrCtXTSmTCMjEQ1sbd1KfXZwAj5zJZIRvgr5NPd_Chs2ZogzJWPuhqV663kTqK4t_qqE-

<u>KTEOnOIVFHIoxMlyZhliiyniL8nHOzRFMs1dDNaz3a21RKVILIo</u>. Here is the link to the original letter by Tabak to Comer. <u>https://twitter.com/GOPoversight/status/1450934193177903105/photo/1</u>. There are two pages of photos of the original letter. Here is another link to the admission by NIH: <u>https://nypost.com/2021/10/21/nih-admits-us-funded-gain-of-function-in-wuhan-despite-faucis-repeated-denials/</u>

And, finally, Dr. Collins and Dr. Fauci used Proximal Origin to attempt to kill the lab leak theory. This is the anatomy of a coverup."¹⁹ (Emphases supplied.)

SB 1869, Section 6, encourages, nay, obligates DOH to rely on other regulatory agencies for their own policies. Here is the specific language. "The [Philippine] CDC **shall** coordinate with centers for disease control of other countries and act as the National Focal Point of the Philippines for International Health Regulations concerns".[Emphasis added]

Yet, these agencies are no longer the benign versions they may have been in the past. Here is another example, this time from the US FDA.

B. FDA Ignores Science; Suppresses Ivermectin; Causes More Covid Deaths

The US FDA claims that Ivermectin does not work.²⁰ Yet their website links to studies that shows Ivermectin does work 69% of the time.²¹ There is clearly a bias against Ivermectin that scientific meta-analysis has shown to be safe and effective against COVID-19.

Here is a very short video clip explaining how FDA tried to hide these important findings.²²

Even more revealing and alarming, **confidential documents of the US Department of Defense show that, in fact, Ivermectin is the antidote to COVID-19.**²³

Meanwhile, the Uttar Pradesh region in India ignored the disinformation surrounding the use of Ivermectin. **It gave Ivermectin to most of its 230 million residents,** over twice the population of the Philippines. Now, Uttar Pradesh is mostly free from COVID-19 disease.²⁴

¹⁹ <u>https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/2023.07.11-SSCP-Interim-Staff-Report-Re.-Proximal-Origin_FINAL.pdf</u>

²⁰ <u>https://www.fda.gov/consumers/consumer-updates/why-you-should-not-use-ivermectin-treat-or-prevent-covid-19</u>

²¹ <u>https://dailyclout.io/fda-embarrassingly-claims-ivermectin-doesnt-work-while-linking-to-studies-that-prove-it-</u>

<u>does</u>/. The Daily Clout is a consortium of scientists, doctors, and researchers who have been analyzing the tens of thousands of pages of confidential Pfizer documents that a US court forced Pfizer to release to the public. Pfizer wanted to hide these documents for 75 years. Now, based on the incriminating nature of these documents, we now know why Pfizer wanted to hide them from the public.

 ²² https://rumble.com/v2sovx4-fda-embarrassingly-claims-ivermectin-doesnt-work-while-linking-to-studies-t.html
²³ https://www.ronjohnson.senate.gov/2022/1/senator-johnson-demands-answers-from-dod-on-disclosure-

aboutcovid-19-origins-and-early-treatment . See also,

https://assets.ctfassets.net/syq3snmxclc9/2mVob3c1aDd8CNvVnyei6n/95af7dbfd2958d4c2b8494048b4889b5/JA G_Docs_pt1_Og_WATERMARK_OVER_Redacted.pdf

²⁴ <u>https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2021/08/19/indias-uttar-pradesh-moving-towards-being-covid-free-ivermectin-central-to-virus-control/</u>. Here is further confirmation of the Uttar Pradesh success with Ivermectin.

https://www.trialsitenews.com/a/uttar-pradesh-officials-set-the-record-straight-ivermectin-used-successfully-tocombat-covid-19-in-the-northern-indian-state-a04783f3

So why did regulatory agencies around the world, including our own FDA and DOH, downplay the valuable role of Ivermectin in treating COVID-19? Why? Instead, DOH like other health agencies around the world promoted mandatory vaccinations with a vaccine that was highly experimental and has been demonstrated to cause huge numbers of deaths and serious adverse side effects as shown above.

C. CDC Enters Fantasy Land with its Advice on Chestfeeding

Just this July 2023, CDC hit the mainstream news with its new official advice on "chestfeeding" by transgender parents.²⁵ CDC asked this question: "**Can transgender parents who have had breast surgery breastfeed or chestfeed their infants?**" CDC answered with a "**Yes**" and gave this explanation.

"Some transgender parents who have had breast/top surgery may wish to breastfeed, or chestfeed (a term used by some transgender and non-binary parents), their infants. Healthcare providers working with these families should be familiar with medical, emotional, and social aspects of gender transitions to provide optimal family-centered care and meet the nutritional needs of the infant."²⁶

CDC then goes on to explain that men who want to chestfeed their babies may need support including the following:

- "Maximizing milk production
- Supplementing with pasteurized donor human milk or formula
- Medication to induce lactation or avoiding medications that inhibit lactation ...
- Finding appropriate lactation management support, peer support, and/or emotional support²⁷

This advice from CDC is lunacy and absurd. It buys into the absolute science fiction that a man can be a woman. CDC is supporting the transgender ideology that "gender fluidity" can mean that a man can alter his biological inheritance and become a woman with the use of transition surgery and hormones. But such interventions can never confer a uterus nor a fallopian tube on a man. And, of course, men cannot get pregnant.

Would it not be simpler if men just stayed as men and women just stay as women and avoid all the health perils associated with transitioning and detransitioning. In addition, it would also avoid harming the baby due to all the chemicals needed by the transgender male. These chemicals have been known to produce irregular heartbeat in infants.²⁸

²⁵ <u>https://www.foxnews.com/media/cdc-gives-guidance-trans-people-chestfeeding-kids-accused-failing-consider-possible-health-risks</u>

²⁶ <u>https://www.cdc.gov/breastfeeding/breastfeeding-special-circumstances/maternal-or-infant-illnesses/breast-surgery.html</u>

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ <u>https://www.foxnews.com/media/cdc-gives-guidance-trans-people-chestfeeding-kids-accused-failing-consider-possible-health-risks</u>

This CDC advice is definitely a red flag. CDC is so totally off the wall that it is clear that the US CDC no longer has the scientific objectivity to advise US citizens regarding health matters, let alone other countries in the world including the DOH. Would you trust a regulatory agency that no longer has the capacity to distinguish between a biological male and a biological female? Would you trust a regulatory agency that has politicized the science in such an absurd fashion?

The World Health Organization is Not Benign. It seeks Global Control of Nations

In Briefing Paper #1, CCH wrote:

SB1869 will normalize the following nightmares of Filipinos.

- More lockdown most likely permanent lockdowns.
- More forced quarantines, social distancing and facemasks.
- **More forced vaccinations** with rushed EUAs, now called Special Regulatory Authorizations.
- The Right to bodily autonomy and **Prior Informed Consent ignored**.
- Continued prohibition to autopsy the dead in order to hide vaccine deaths.

•	Total neglect and shutting awareness of the power of natural immunity t	o deal	with
е	epidemics.		

SB1869 will introduce new hazards and perils. These new hazards will be the ones that will normalize the nightmares that Filipinos already experienced during the Covid lockdowns.

• **Destruction of Philippine sovereignty** by allowing the WHO to dictate pandemic responses

- Creation of a permanent surveillance state
- Establish digital IDs and digital prisons

• Converging SIM Card Registration Law with SB1869 therefore allowing the Telecommunications companies, PNP and NBI to track, trace and even arrest individuals

Regarding these new hazards and perils, CCH wrote that these will come through two WHO instruments: the amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) and the emerging Pandemic Treaty. SB 1869 totally aligns itself with the plans of the WHO. No questions are being asked.

Key issues in the IHR Amendments can be found in the cited Articles and Annexes below.

• Establish **mandatory medical treatments** including medical examination, prophylaxis, vaccinations, contact tracing and quarantine. Welcome the New Normal of Covid-19. We all know what this means. (Article 18)

• Intensify WHO's power of **censorship**. (Annex 1)

• Require full cooperation with the WHO. (Annex 10). Note here the "must meet" language of SB1869 discussed above, which implies no deviation from the IHR of the WHO.

• Change the role of WHO from advisory to mandatory. WHO proclamations will become legally binding. (Article 1) (Article 42)

• WHO can declare emergencies on potential, not just actual health concerns. (Article 2)

• Remove "respect for dignity, human rights, and fundamental freedoms of people" during cases of declared health emergencies. (Article 3)

• Enforce global health certificates, include vaccine passports and digital IDs. (Articles 18, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 35, 36, and 44. Also Annexes 6 and 8.)

• **Override the sovereignty of nations**. WHO's Emergency Committee will be the final decision makers regarding a nation's health measures. Goodbye DOH. Goodbye Senate. Goodbye House. Goodbye President. (Article 43)

In addition to all these, the WHO is promoting the policy of **One Health** in the latest version of the Pandemic Treaty known as the Bureau's Text.²⁹

According to the WHO, "the One Health Joint Plan of Action, developed through a participatory process, provides a set of activities that aim to strengthen collaboration, communication, capacity building, and coordination equally across all sectors responsible for addressing health concerns at the human-animal-plant-environment interface."³⁰

This nice seemingly idealistic language hides the real intentions of the WHO. The latter aims to control all aspects of human existence via nature and the ecosystems of nature. The latter can easily turn in a climate lockdown should an ecosystem display a "potential" threat of an epidemic because of global warming. Or if they find a pathogenic viral segment in the sewage system of one's environment, that alone can trigger a health lockdown. One should read the WHO "One Health" plan in conjunction with Article 2 of the proposed amendments to the International Health Regulation. (See immediately above.)

These WHO provisions are still in the agenda. There are more meetings ahead to discuss these amendments to the International Health Regulations and the latest version of the WHO "Pandemic Treaty". The IHR amendments will be finalized by December 2023. The Pandemic Treaty will be ratified by May 2024.

But these kinds of provisions in the WHO instruments are enough to send the signal that **the WHO is not about health. It is ultimately about world control** using people's fears of illness as their Trojan Horse.

For more details regarding these dangerous intentions of the WHO, see CCH's Briefing Papers #1 and 2 cited in the very beginning of this document.

 ²⁹ <u>https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb5/A_INB5_6-en.pdf?utm_source=substack&utm_medium=email</u>. See Article I(1)(c); Article I (III), (Option 8-A); and especially Chapter 2, Article 5. This latter contains all the details to rationalize WHO world control thru One Health and the various ways these can be achieved.
³⁰ <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/17-10-2022-one-health-joint-plan-of-action-launched-to-address-health-</u>

threats-to-humans--animals--plants-and-environment

CONCLUSION

Tens of thousands of concerned citizens from all walks of life including our indigenous brothers and sisters, have expressed their opposition to SB 1869. These have come in the form of Petitions, Briefing Papers, comments in the Senate's FB page, letters to all 24 Senators, continuous demonstrations outside the Senate, and personal meetings with Senators and their staff.

As can be seen in the three Briefing Papers of CCH, these widespread and massive concerns are well-grounded in science and world experience on the impact of misplaced Covid policies.

On the other hand, there are hardly demonstrations or manifestations supporting SB 1869. Senators themselves have almost ignored most these Petitions of concern.

Dear Senators, you are supposed to be representatives of the people. The people have spoken. They categorically reject SB 1869. If you are true to your professions, it would be important to listen to the voice of the people. Otherwise, they will lose total trust in you and related institutions of Philippine society.

In addition, approving SB 1869 is tantamount to treason. Turning SB 1869 into law would mean handling over control of our country to a foreign institution that would basically dictate the terms of the country's response to declared, not necessarily authentic, global health emergencies.

If you think, dear Senators, that these supposed health emergencies are far and few between, then, with all due respect, CCH must say that you are going to be seriously mistaken. Dear Senators, you have no idea of how global control by the WHO has been carefully engineered even before the so-called Covid pandemic.

What happened the last three years and what is happening now and in the near future has been carefully planned by the powers who created SARS-CoV-2 in a lab and then pretended that the virus of COVID-19 came from nature.³¹

Please spare our country from unnecessary turmoil and chaos. Please junk SB 1869 and come up with a better and more reasonable version of SB 1869, one that you have crafted through careful Committee Hearings in aid of legislation.

We do not want this current version of SB 1869 that has been crafted by the DOH, clearly with the aid of the WHO.³² Nothing good will ever come out of it except permanent lockdowns, coerced mandatory vaccinations, massive 24/7 surveillance, integrated digital IDs that will monitor the behavior of all Filipinos. In short, SB 1869 will

³¹ This claim is supported by the collective evidence presented in all three CCH Briefing Papers.

³² As evidenced by the kind of language used and the kind of draconian measures being advanced.

introduce a brave new world in the Philippines where the nightmare of Orwell's 1984 will be the daily reality of Filipinos.

We do not want this dystopian future. It will be an invitation for all Filipinos, who truly love their rights, freedoms, democracy and country to stand up and resist the treachery that could visit the Philippines should SB 1869 become the law of the land. So help us God!