



COVID CALL TO HUMANITY

<https://covidcalltohumanity.org>

Contact Number: 0926-952-0230 or 0926-654-2078

Email: cch1333@protonmail.com

CCH BRIEFING PAPER ON S.B. 1869, No.2

DATE: 08 May 2023

TO: Honorable Senators, Republic of the Philippines

FROM: Nicanor Perlas, Covid Call to Humanity

RE: WHY SB 1869 PLACES THE PHILIPPINES IN GRAVE DANGER

Dear Senators, during your recess, disturbing AND disgusting things have emerged that you need to know about in connection with SB 1869. Here are some alarming ones.

- WHO now promotes masturbation in very young children.
- WHO also advocates sexual intercourse among 9-12-year-olds.
- The UN is encouraging pedophilia.
- These developments are connected with your bill.
- SB 1869 will place the Philippines under the control of sex maniacs and pedophiles at the WHO and the UN.
- This is, in addition, to more WHO-mandated lockdowns and vaccinations
- I will demonstrate the evidence for these facts and conclusions below.

W.H.O. WANTS VERY YOUNG CHILDREN TO MASTURBATE

In its official website, the WHO encourages nations to teach young children (1 year old and above) **to learn about and engage in masturbation** among other totally decadent practices. Here is a screenshot taken from the WHO publication.¹

¹ <https://www.bzga-whocc.de/en/publications/standards-for-sexuality-education/>;
https://www.bzga-whocc.de/fileadmin/user_upload/BZgA_Standards_English.pdf The document is found in the second link. The first link shows that this document is from the WHO.

This is for children ages “0-4”. The first bulleted column is “Information. Give Information About”; the second bulleted column is “Skills. Enable Children To”; the final rightmost bulleted column is “Attitudes. Help Children to Develop”.

Sexuality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ enjoyment and pleasure when touching one’s own body, early childhood masturbation ■ discovery of own body and own genitals ■ the fact that enjoyment of physical closeness is a normal part of everyone’s life ■ tenderness and physical closeness as an expression of love and affection 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ gain an awareness of gender identity ■ talk about (un)pleasurable feelings in one’s own body ■ express own needs, wishes and boundaries, for example in the context of “playing doctor” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ a positive attitude towards one’s body with all its functions = positive body-image ■ respect for others ● <i>curiosity regarding own and others’ bodies</i>
-----------	--	---	--

W.H.O. TEACHES 6-9-YEAR-OLDS AND ENCOURAGES 9-YEAR-OLDS TO HAVE SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

Masturbation is only the beginning of WHO’s deranged campaign to sexualize and morally degrade children. The WHO also advises educational agencies worldwide to teach 6-9-year-olds about and encourage 9-12-year-olds to have sex and to learn different sexual techniques using mobile phones and the internet. (See screenshot #2, again taken from the same document cited in Reference 1.)

Here is the screenshot proof of this outrageous WHO policy this time for 9-12-year-olds. The first bulleted column is “Information. Give Information About”; the second bulleted column is “Skills. Enable Children To”; the final rightmost bulleted column is “Attitudes. Help Children to Develop”.

SCREENSHOT 2

Sexuality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ first sexual experience ■ gender orientation ■ sexual behaviour of young people (variability of sexual behaviour) ■ love, being in love ● <i>pleasure, masturbation, orgasm</i> ● <i>differences between gender identity and biological sex</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ communicate and understand different sexual feelings and talk about sexuality in an appropriate way ■ make a conscious decision to have sexual experiences or not ■ refuse unwanted sexual experiences ● <i>differentiate between sexuality in “real life” and sexuality in the media</i> ● <i>use modern media (mobile phones, Internet) and be aware of risks and benefits associated</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ acceptance, respect and understanding of diversity in sexuality and sexual orientation (sex should be mutually consensual, voluntary, equal, age-appropriate, context-appropriate and self-respecting) ■ the understanding of sexuality as a learning process ■ acceptance of different expressions of sexuality (kissing, touching, caressing, etc.) ● <i>understanding that everyone has his/her own timetable of</i>
-----------	--	---	--

UN PROMOTES PEDOPHILIA

Meanwhile, **the UN**, the mothership of WHO, is not only **allowing children to have consensual sex with adults. It is promoting pedophilia.**²

The Geneva-based International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), a civil society organization, works alongside the United Nations. The ICJ recently announced new criminal law reform principles that basically promote pedophilia under the guise of protecting human rights. (See Principle 16 of the cited document in Reference 2.)

Is there a relationship between the WHO sex education framework and the UN document? Indeed, there is. The WHO, the supposed guardian of the planet's health, did not raise any objections to such proposed criminal law reform principles. **The WHO**, in its sex education document discussed above, **actually prepares a fertile soil for worldwide acceptance of pedophilia as normal, instead of a criminal, behavior.**

Both once-respected institutions are clearly no longer working for the interest and health of nations around the world. They are destroying the moral fiber of the planet. Both perpetrators and victims contribute to a world that is accelerating to the abyss of destruction.

As you will see further below, dear Senators of the Republic, with your SB 1869, you are effectively placing the country under the control of sex maniacs and pedophiles. Nothing good can come out of this.

RELEVANCE TO SB 1869

What is the connection of all these to SB 1869?

As you know, your bill mandates the alignment of our health policies with the WHO. In effect, SB 1869 will place the Philippines under the control of the WHO in the event that WHO declares a global health emergency. **See Section 3(b); Article II, Sec. 4(e); Article III, Secs. (6), Section 7(b)(ii); Section 28(b) of your bill.**

This bill presumes that the WHO promotes health policies that would be good for us. This presumes that the WHO has the moral integrity to have our best interests and would not promote policies that are morally repulsive and would endanger our health.

The above examples clearly demonstrate that the WHO is morally imbalanced and is ethically defective. It has an ethical predisposition to promote policies that can destroy the mental and physical health of millions around the world.

² https://icj2.wpenginepowered.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/8-March-Principles-Report_final_print-version.pdf

We cannot compartmentalize moral defects. The human psyche is interconnected. Defects in one area of our psychological life can impact other areas of our psyche. This clearly shows itself in more examples of WHO's moral deficit resulting in highly questionable and even dangerous health policies.

If WHO can come out in support of such an outrageous and totally decadent and harmful practice for children, can you trust the WHO to dictate the health policies of this country as you envision in your SB1869? Can you trust a psychopath to watch over you and take care of you?

UNHEALTHY POLICIES PROMOTED BY THE W.H.O.

In CCH's first SB1869 Briefing Paper, we provided detailed evidence of unhealthy policies promoted by the WHO.³ These included:

- WHO-designed **secret** tracking program for the unvaccinated using the ICD-10 code that was used by the CDC;
- WHO **redefinition of "pandemic"** in 2009 to prematurely trigger fraudulent deals with pharmaceutical companies;
- WHO promotion of face masks when its own internal policy paper on face masks in 2019 showed the **scientific evidence for such a face mask policy was "low"**.

Furthermore, we also showed that WHO was pushing amendments to the International Health Regulations of 2005 that were deeply disadvantageous to nation states so much so that even its own internal Review Committee for these amendments flagged (in polite language) the following amendments as contentious.

- **Change the role of WHO from advisory to mandatory.** Then WHO proclamations will become legally binding. (Article 1) (Article 42);
- WHO can **declare emergencies on potential, not just actual health concerns.** (Article 2)
- **Remove** "respect for dignity, human rights, and fundamental freedoms of people" during cases of declared health emergencies. (Article 3)
- **Enforce global health certificates, include vaccine passports and digital IDs.** (Articles 18, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 35, 36, and 44. Also Annexes 6 and 8.)
- **Override the sovereignty of nations.** WHO's Emergency Committee will be the final decision makers regarding a nation's health measures. Goodbye DOH. Goodbye Senate. Goodbye House. Goodbye President. (Article 43)

All these already indicate that lack of moral compass of the WHO. Thus, it is no surprise that on April 1, 2023, the WHO released a document showing that it will implement mandatory vaccinations worldwide. Here is the language.

³ See the CCH Briefing Paper on SB1869 submitted to all members of the Philippine Senate last February 20, 2023. A copy of the briefing paper, and its references, can also be found in CCH's website at: <https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2023/02/22/briefing-paper-on-sb1869-why-sb1869-approval-needs-to-be-stopped-now-and-the-bill-radically-revised/>

“IA2030 [Immunization Agenda 2030] aims to ensure that we maintain the hard-won gains and also that we achieve more – **leaving no one behind, in any situation or at any stage of life.**”⁴ (Emphasis added.)

“Leaving no one behind” means everybody. “In any situation” means no exception. “At any stage of life” means from birth until old age. Taken together, WHO envisions, in their own words, “an ambitious, overarching global vision and strategy” to vaccinate everyone in the entire planet⁵. And there will be no exceptions. This is a call for permanent mandatory vaccinations for humanity.

DANGERS OF THE COVID VACCINES

This WHO policy is reckless because there are now dozens of hard solid evidence that these vaccines are not safe and effective as claimed by WHO, CDC, and the DOH. Here are some recent revelations.

Internal emails revealed that CDC knew that the Covid vaccines were wreaking havoc on the lives of vaccinees BEFORE and AFTER the mass rollout of the Covid vaccines. The CDC paid \$35.4 million to hire General Dynamics Information Technology (GDIT) to monitor vaccine effects on recipients. The results alarmed GDIT when its projected estimates of 1000 adverse reports per day were surpassed within just 6 days of monitoring. Yet the CDC lied about this in their public statements and continued to claim that the vaccines were safe and effective.⁶

This is another reason why the Philippine government cannot take CDC policies and reports at face value. This also strengthens the CCH recommendation that the Senate holds thorough hearings first on the safety and effectiveness of the DOH management of the Covid crisis in the Philippines.

Why give more power to an institution that has abused that power?

It is not just WHO and the CDC. Big Pharma was also involved in collusion with its regulators to engage in totally unacceptable medical practices.

Makers of the Covid vaccines until today have kept the ingredients of these vaccines from the public. Now, it has been shown that graphene oxide is part of Pfizer ingredient.⁷

Vaccine shedding, and its potential harmful impacts to others, occurs as evidenced by scientific study. Damage occurs to specific organs due to the vaccines.

⁴ <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/immunization-agenda-2030-a-global-strategy-to-leave-no-one-behind>

⁵ *Ibid.*

⁶ <https://thehighwire.com/ark-videos/the-vaers-expose/>. This information was released as a result of a court order in a legal case against the CDC. The link provided here goes into great details about this fraudulent practice by the CDC.

⁷ <https://expose-news.com/2023/04/05/vaccine-shedding-graphene-oxide-pfizer-documents/>. This article contains the evidence of Pfizer documents that a US court ordered to be released to the public.

Pfizer has not been forthcoming about the safety of its vaccines. Yet, there is no provision in SB1869 that would protect the public from fraudulent pharmaceutical and regulatory practices that can harm the vaccinated and the unvaccinated.⁸

There is an unhealthy default belief that these institutions are basically honest. The bill should therefore be revised to factor in the high probability of institutional deceit and coverup because this has taken place with the Covid vaccines.

Speaking of Pfizer, this giant Covid vaccine maker did not test transmission.⁹ As a result, as established by scientific evidence, the vaccinated, contrary to popular belief, still get infected and transmit the Covid disease.¹⁰

In one significant study quietly published by the CDC, we find the following confirmation.

In July 2021, following multiple large public events in a Barnstable County, Massachusetts, town, 469 COVID-19 cases were identified among Massachusetts residents who had traveled to the town during July 3–17; 346 (74%) occurred in fully vaccinated persons. Testing identified the Delta variant in 90% of specimens from 133 patients. Cycle threshold values were similar among specimens from patients who were fully vaccinated and those who were not.¹¹

Finally, as detailed in CCH's first Briefing Paper on SB1869, the Covid vaccines are clearly associated with around 20 million excess deaths as reported by The Economist.¹² The Philippines suffered over 290,000 excess deaths in 2021, the year massive coerced Covid vaccinations pervaded the country.¹³

No regulator nor scientist have provided an alternative explanation as to why the world is now suffering from gigantic excess deaths at a time when "safe and effective" vaccines were injected into billions of humans.

CONCLUSION

Dear Senators, the conclusion is clear. I am sure you are outraged with the disgusting developments mentioned above. Ironically, these destructive developments are effectively what you will be promoting when SB 1869 becomes law.

⁸ <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.04.28.22274443v1.full-text>
https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/125742_S1_M4_4223_185350.pdf
https://cdn.pfizer.com/pfizercom/2020-11/C4591001_Clinical_Protocol_Nov2020.pdf
https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/125742_S1_M4_4.2.1-vr-vtr-10741.pdf

⁹ <https://www.factcheck.org/2022/10/scicheck-its-not-news-nor-scandalous-that-pfizer-trial-didnt-test-transmission/>

¹⁰ <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/the-risk-of-vaccinated-covid-transmission-is-not-low/>

¹¹ <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7031e2.htm>

¹² <https://forbiddenknowledge.tv/analyst-estimates-at-least-20-million-people-have-already-been-killed-by-the-covid-vaccine/>

¹³ <https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2022/04/30/2021-records-290125-excess-deaths/>

We, the people, understand you want to do good for the people. But that puts a burden on your shoulders and those of your staff that you are getting the proper science and advise on SB1869. If not, you will all become unwitting instruments for the unscientific health measures, the abortion of Philippine democracy, and the subsequent massive misery of the Filipino people.

We all hope you remain open to the facts and the Truth so that you will all make the enlightened decision that will truly benefit the nation.