

THINKING ABOUT CHINA

The WHO's Latest Power Grab Poses an Existential Threat to Your Freedom



A sign of the World Health Organization in Geneva, Switzerland, on April 24, 2020. (Fabrice Coffrini/AFP via Getty Images)



John Mac Ghlionn

January 17, 2023 Updated: January 22, 2023

A   Print

Commentary

According to [its website](#), the [World Health Organization \(WHO\)](#), a specialized agency of the U.N., “works worldwide to promote health, keep the world safe, and serve the vulnerable.” In recent times, however, the organization has become a vehicle for [corruption](#), [deceit](#), and [Chinese propaganda](#).

The WHO is an incredibly powerful organization with 194 member states. When the WHO speaks, the world listens. When the WHO decides on a plan of action, the world changes.

As the piece demonstrates, the WHO has aspirations of becoming even more powerful than it already is. If successful, the consequences could prove to be severe.

Last year, Henry I. Miller, a physician and molecular biologist, wrote a stinging piece that took direct aim at the WHO's "bungled response to the coronavirus." Miller, like so many others around the world, was particularly disillusioned about the "misplaced trust" placed in the Chinese Communist Party (CCP). As many readers no doubt recall, the CCP did its very best to conceal the COVID-19 outbreak that originated in Wuhan, China.

Because of the WHO's numerous failures, Miller argued persuasively that the United States, whose "funding of UN activities exceeds that of every other country," should refrain from financing the organization unless an "effective oversight and auditing entity" can be created to oversee operations.

Security personnel stand guard outside the Wuhan Institute of Virology as members of the World Health Organization (WHO) team investigating the origins of the COVID-19 coronavirus visit the institute in Wuhan, in China's Hubei Province, on Feb. 3, 2021. (Hector Retamal/AFP via Getty Images)

In 2020, shortly after suspending financial support, the Trump administration began initiating a process to withdraw the United States from membership in the WHO. However, upon taking office in January 2021, U.S. President Joe Biden quickly reversed that decision and restored funding practices.

A few weeks after Miller's well-argued piece, Sen. Rick Scott (R-Fla.) introduced a bill designed to prevent the WHO from unilaterally imposing public health restrictions on the United States and violating the country's national sovereignty. The legislation came after the decision-making body of the WHO, the World Health Assembly, met to discuss a "pandemic treaty." If introduced, such a treaty would give the WHO far greater control over public health decisions in the United States.

Scott said: “The WHO’s radical ‘pandemic treaty’ is a dangerous globalist overreach. The United States of America must never give more power to the WHO.”

He noted that the bill would “ensure that public health matters in the country remain in the hands of Americans” and that it needed to be passed immediately. It wasn’t. It should have been.

From Jan. 9 to Jan. 13, clandestine meetings took place in Geneva, Switzerland. Those in attendance discussed the possibility of amending the WHO’s International Health Regulations (IHR). For the uninitiated, the regulations are considered an instrument of international law, a legally binding agreement of basically every country in the world (except Liechtenstein) that calls on members to detect, evaluate, report, and respond to public health emergencies in a coordinated manner.

Michael Nevradakis, a senior reporter for The Defender, warned that if the proposed IHR amendments were to become regulations, then WHO members would essentially be stripped of their sovereignty. As Nevradakis previously reported, the IHR framework already allows Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the WHO director-general, “to declare a public health emergency in any country, without the consent of that country’s government.” The proposed amendments would give even more power to the director-general.

Francis Boyle, a professor of international law at the University of Illinois, told Nevradakis that the proposed changes could violate international law.

Boyle, a legitimate expert who played a pivotal role in drafting the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, believes that we’re heading toward “a worldwide totalitarian medical and scientific police state,” which the WHO would directly control. That’s because the IHR regulations “are specifically designed to circumvent national, state and local government authorities when it comes to pandemics, the treatment for pandemics and also including in there, vaccines.”

It’s clear to Boyle that the WHO is preparing to adopt the regulations in May 2023, just a few months from now.

The brilliant researcher James Roguski also shares Boyle's concerns. He claims that the WHO is attempting a global power grab by morphing from an advisory organization into what can only be described as a global law-enforcement agency. If introduced, the IHR changes, he suggested, "would institute global digital health certificates, dramatically increase the billions of dollars available to the WHO and enable nations to implement the regulations WITHOUT respect for the dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms of people."

Although COVID-19 is now a distant memory for many, another pandemic, we're told, is just around the corner. When it comes, the WHO may very well be in a position to order you, dear reader, to do exactly what it wants, when it wants. If these amendments are made in May 2023, resistance may prove to be utterly futile.

Views expressed in this article are the opinions of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Epoch Times.