Hhhhmmmm..."Repeat Booster Shots Spur European Warning on Immune-System Risks"...but these same malfeasants on Dec 9th 2021, 4 weeks ago, said boosters WERE needed; what changed?

Hmmmm, seems some people getting cold feet, yet with hundreds of millions getting the jab, we warned these dangerous people that the vaccines were untested and unsafe...now they get it????



Dr. Paul Alexander Jan 12

♡ 32 ○ 6 &

These COVID vaccines were never ever needed! Never. They now show us they are both ineffective and harmful. But we told them this, we have been crying out 10 months now and even before. You cannot take a 15 year process and boil it down to 4 months and tell me you DID not cut corners. You had to. 'Time' is there in the development process for a reason, to detect safety signals. The vaccine developers lied, they all lied, and they have an unsafe vaccine, especially the mRNA vaccines (to me all) on deck.

We had effective early treatment and this was our way out with proper protection of the vulnerable and allowing the rest of society to live normal largely unrestricted lives with reasonable safety precautions. No lockdowns. I can tell you without going into much, that I was vocal about the vaccines not being a good strategy and not needed when at HHS. Others also were saying same. I saw the methodological flaws in the vaccine development, and wrote the FDA and others outlining the concerns.

It is adverse effects and deaths from the vaccines...lets not bull s**t ourselves here and lets get serious...no playing...these regulators know that the vaccine is killing people and they know that it is driving variants that could not only be infectious but lethal too; this is why they are stepping back; I strongly think this; is this regulator reversal because Bourla is scared because FDA lost the court case and now they have to release all of the Pfizer documents and Bourla knows there is something in there? There is a strong chance no doubt. On surface blush just on the published papers, there is so much wrong done.

They know, or at least should know, that you never EVER vaccinate during a pandemic, that you do not vaccinate into a pandemic or when a rapidly mutable RNA virus is circulating...they should know that this will lead to natural selection then 'selecting' the 'fittest' variant (s) that could surmount the immune pressure. This results in variants. So what were and are these idiots thinking?

is it just pure incompetence and ineptness on the part of these people? Some say so, and maybe they are right. No malfeasance.

But we must not let them off the hook so easy. No easy backing away. They went and fired people who chose to exercise their rights to not want the vaccine? Those who were COVID recovered? You denied them their natural immunity? We begged you and told you that this is a typical respiratory pathogen and that it will mutate mildly and will become the 5th common cold coronavirus but you will not listen.

Something stinks to high heavens and me thinks people are getting scared...Bourla, Pfizer's CEO is scared, Moderna's Bancel too...just today he is talking down his own boosters...he is on record saying that the 2 shots do not work and 3rd offers 'reasonable' protection...

I am saying in the end, we have to jail many of these people. Once we examine it properly and legally, I do not care who you were, if you did wrong in this COVID matter you have to sit in a jail. Many people died, thousands of business owners, laid off employees, little children in the US killed themselves. We need accountability.

I am sorry but people who took these vaccines should be very very concerned and we told them this...I have told them this that the vaccines are unsafe. I can say this with confidence and the vaccine makers know this.

the jig is up and the public has awakened...we told them thousands have died and based on the 1% of reports (VAERS captures just 1%, some evidence says 10%) in CDC VAERS's database (21,000 deaths reported to CDC's VAERS database) and EUDRAvigilance system, millions with adverse effects...but none of these sick twisted government leaders and technocrats and bureaucrats are listening...they got paid off so cant bail...they must have gotten something....bought off??...for it cant be pure ineptness for the data and evidence is all around us...so is it that it is so clear now they are running scared? What happened to the European Union's drugs regulator? Are the deaths from the vaccine accumulating so much that they cannot discount it or hide it anymore? What happened in one month???

1. So we went from this on December 9th 2021:

FRANKFURT, Dec 9 (Reuters) - The European Union's drugs regulator said it could make sense to administer COVID-19 vaccine boosters as early as three months after the initial twoshot regimen amid "extremely worrying" infection numbers in the region.

"While the current recommendation is to administer boosters preferably after six months, the data currently available support safe and effective administration of a booster as early as three months from completion," the European Medicines Agency's (EMA) Head of Vaccines Strategy, Marco Cavaleri, told a media briefing.

https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/eu-drugs-regulator-says-data-supports-vaccine-boosters-after-three-months-2021-12-09/

2. To this on January 11th 2022, so lets say its one month??:

(Bloomberg) -- European Union regulators warned that frequent Covid-19 booster shots could adversely affect the immune system and may not be feasible.

Repeat booster doses every four months could eventually weaken the immune system and tire out people, according to the European Medicines Agency. Instead, countries should leave more time between booster programs and tie them to the onset of the cold season in each hemisphere, following the blueprint set out by influenza vaccination strategies, the agency said.

The advice comes as some countries consider the possibility of offering people second booster shots in a bid to provide further protection against surging omicron infections. Earlier this month Israel became the first nation to start administering a second booster, or fourth shot, to those over 60. The U.K. has said that boosters are providing good levels of protection and there is no need for a second booster shot at the moment, but will review data as it evolves.

Boosters "can be done once, or maybe twice, but it's not something that we can think should be repeated constantly," Marco Cavaleri, the EMA head of biological health threats and vaccines strategy, said at a press briefing on Tuesday. "We need to think about how we can transition from the current pandemic setting to a more endemic setting."

The EU regulator also said at the briefing that oral and intravenous antivirals, such as Paxlovid and Remdesivir, maintain their efficacy against omicron. The agency said that April is the soonest it could approve a new vaccine targeting a specific variant, as the process takes about three to four months. Some of the world's largest vaccine-makers have said they are looking at producing vaccines that could target new variants.

©2022 Bloomberg L.P.

https://www.bnnbloomberg.ca/repeat-booster-shots-spur-european-warning-on-immune-system-risks-1.1706083

Update:

Another story today in the EPOCH Times on the regulator's concerns:

Repeat COVID-19 Vaccine Booster Shots Trigger Regulator Warning About Immune-System Risks

https://www.theepochtimes.com/eus-drug-regulator-expresses-concerns-over-immuneresponse-with-current-approach-for-covid-19-vaccine-boosters_4207438.html

"The European Union's drug regulator expressed doubts about whether a second <u>booster dose</u> of the currently available COVID-19 vaccines would be a sustainable long-term approach.

Marco Cavaleri, the European Medicines Agency's (EMA) head of vaccines strategy, <u>told a</u> <u>media briefing</u>, "There is an emergency discussion around the possibility of giving a second booster dose with the same vaccine currently in use. Data has not yet been generated to support this approach."

"We have not yet seen data with respect to a fourth dose," he also said later. "We would like to see this data before we can make any recommendation, but at the same time we are rather concerned about a strategy that [involves] repeated vaccinations within a short term."

Cavaleri said an additional booster shot "could be considered as part of a contingency plan," but "repeated vaccinations within short intervals will not represent a sustainable long term strategy."

Concerns Over Immune Response

When asked to expand on his statements, Cavaleri said that for a hypothetical approach of giving boosters frequently, such as every four months, "we will end up potentially having problems with immune response and immune response may end up not being as good as we would like it to be, so we should be careful in not overloading the immune system with repeated immunization."

He added that continuous administration of boosters can also lead to fatigue in the population."

"It will be much better to start thinking about an administration of boosters that is more spaced in time," Cavaleri said.

The EMA is in conversation with vaccine developers in case there is a need to update the current vaccines, he said, but noted that any change "would have to be coordinated globally."

Meanwhile, more data on the impact of the new variant—in this case, Omicron—on vaccines and a better understanding of the evolution of the current wave were needed to decide whether an Omicron-specific vaccine was needed.

"While a monovalent Omicron vaccine would represent an obvious candidate to be investigated, other options such as a multivalent vaccine cannot be ruled out as potential alternatives," Cavaleri said.

Subscribe to Alexander COVID News epidemiologist evidence based medicine

By Dr. Paul Alexander $\,\cdot\,$ Hundreds of subscribers

COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2: natural immunity; innate immunity; vaccines and immune pressure; no vaccines for children; early outpatient treatment; lockdowns; school closures and mask mandates





Write a comment...



Barry O'Kenyan Jan 12

typo:

Hhhhmmmm..."Repeat Booster Shots Spur European Warning on Immune-System Risks"...but these same malfeasants on <Jan 9th 2021, 4 weeks ago> said boosters WERE needed; what changed? \bigcirc 2 Reply

2 replies



Andrew S Jan 12

For the sake of accuracy, only half of the 20k+ reported deaths in VAERS were "in" the United States. The other half were expats or other health systems that report AE's through VAERS.

Don't get me wrong, the adverse events are almost certainly and dramatically under-reported, but I don't know that only 1% of the deaths are reported. It would seem logical that the more severe the adverse reaction, the more likely it is to be reported.

🔿 Reply

1 reply by Dr. Paul Alexander

4 more comments...

Ready for more?

Type your email...

Subscribe



Alexander COVID News epidemiologist evidence based medicine is on Substack – the place for independent writing