

Read our COVID-19 research and news.



LETTERS

Investigate the origins of COVID-19

Jesse D. Bloom^{1,2}, Yujia Alina Chan³, Ralph S. Baric⁴, Pamela J. Bjorkman⁵, Sarah Cobey⁶, Benjamin E. Deverman³, David N. Fis...

+ See all authors and affiliations

Science 14 May 2021:
Vol. 372, Issue 6543, pp. 694
DOI: 10.1126/science.abj0016

Article

Info & Metrics

eLetters

 **PDF**

On 30 December 2019, the Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases notified the world about a pneumonia of unknown cause in Wuhan, China (1). Since then, scientists have made remarkable progress in understanding the causative agent, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), its transmission, pathogenesis, and mitigation by vaccines, therapeutics, and non-pharmaceutical interventions. Yet more investigation is still needed to determine the origin of the pandemic. Theories of accidental release from a lab and zoonotic spillover both remain viable. Knowing how COVID-19 emerged is critical for informing global strategies to mitigate the risk of future outbreaks.

In May 2020, the World Health Assembly requested that the World Health Organization (WHO) director-general work closely with partners to determine the origins of SARS-CoV-2 (2). In November, the Terms of Reference for a China–WHO joint study were released (3). The information, data, and samples for the study's first phase were collected and summarized by the Chinese half of the team;

Tedros Ghebreyesus commented that the report's consideration of evidence supporting a laboratory accident was insufficient and offered to provide additional resources to fully evaluate the possibility (5).

As scientists with relevant expertise, we agree with the WHO director-general (5), the United States and 13 other countries (6), and the European Union (7) that greater clarity about the origins of this pandemic is necessary and feasible to achieve. We must take hypotheses about both natural and laboratory spillovers seriously until we have sufficient data. A proper investigation should be transparent, objective, data-driven, inclusive of broad expertise, subject to independent oversight, and responsibly managed to minimize the impact of conflicts of interest. Public health agencies and research laboratories alike need to open their records to the public. Investigators should document the veracity and provenance of data from which analyses are conducted and conclusions drawn, so that analyses are reproducible by independent experts.

Finally, in this time of unfortunate anti-Asian sentiment in some countries, we note that at the beginning of the pandemic, it was Chinese doctors, scientists, journalists, and citizens who shared with the world crucial information about the spread of the virus—often at great personal cost (8, 9). We should show the same determination in promoting a dispassionate science-based discourse on this difficult but important issue.

<http://www.sciencemag.org/about/science-licenses-journal-article-reuse>

This is an article distributed under the terms of the [Science Journals Default License](#).

References and Notes

1. ↪ “Undiagnosed pneumonia—China (Hubei): Request for information,” *ProMED post* (2019); <https://promedmail.org/promed-post/?id=6864153>. [Google Scholar](#)
2. ↪ *World Health Assembly Resolution 73.1: COVID-19 response* (2020); https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA73/A73_R1-en.pdf. [Google Scholar](#)
3. ↪ WHO, “WHO-convened global study of the origins of SARS-CoV-2” (2020); www.who.int/publications/m/item/who-convened-global-study-of-the-origins-of-sars-cov-2. [Google Scholar](#)
4. ↪ WHO, “WHO-convened global study of origins of SARS-CoV-2: China part” (2021); www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-convened-global-study-of-origins-of-sars-cov-2-china-part.